Question: Over the past three years, we have seen time and again the politicization of the U.S. military. The list is long: sending active duty troops to the southern border, taking nearly $10B from the Department of Defense budget for the border wall, withdrawing troops from northern Syria in advance of a Turkish incursion and then redeploying them to guard Syrian oil fields, downplaying the threats of COVID-19 for service members, threatening to deploy active duty troops to American cities against Governors’ wishes, deploying the National Guard to Lafayette Square and moving unarmed protestors for the President’s photo op at the St. John’s Church on June 1. These events, particularly those in the past few months, seem to reinforce the idea that the President sees the military not as a constitutionally established instrument of government, but as an armed force that exists to serve him personally, for his own personal and political gains. This pains us, one of us a proud Army wife, stepmom to a new army officer, and former Acting Assistant Secretary of Defense, and the other a graduate of the U.S. Naval Academy, former Navy helicopter pilot, and former federal prosecutor. We believe -- and hope that you agree -- that the American people need and want our military to adhere strictly to its tradition of remaining apolitical. This is especially important given the significant authority and fire power of the Department of Defense. Given the above events, we feel compelled to look ahead to decisions that you, as the most senior defense officials, may be called upon to make in the next six months. These decisions will fall squarely into the constitutional roles that you both swore to uphold and we know you both respect. Mr. Secretary, Chairman Milley, we are relying on you to preserve the system that our founding fathers designed. First, on conducting military operations outside the United States ahead of an election: - Do you agree that the U.S. military powers should be used only to advance the national security of the United States, and not for any one president’s political gain? - If the President proposes military action in the next few months that was meant to distract the American public instead of protect American security, would you refuse such an order?

Answer: I believe deeply in the principle of an apolitical U.S. Military. As the CJCS, I provide my best military advice to the President of the United States, Secretary of Defense, National Security Council and Homeland Security Council. I and every member of the Armed Forces take an oath to support and defend the Constitution of the United States, and to follow the lawful orders of the chain of command. As I testified at my Senate confirmation hearing, any Soldier, Sailor, Airman or Marine, regardless of rank, including myself, will comply with all lawful orders. I will not follow an unlawful order.
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Question Number: 9
Question: Second, on the use of military forces in our national elections: As you are likely aware, there is almost no precedent for deploying uniformed military members to the polls on election day. Are there any circumstances in the 2020 elections when you would deem it necessary to send the U.S. military to be present at polling places? - Do you believe that the military should be involved in administering or tallying results of an election? - If you were ordered to send active duty military to be present at the polls during election day, would you refuse such an order?

Answer:
The Constitution and laws of the U.S. and the states establish procedures for carrying out elections, and for resolving disputes over the outcome of elections. State and Federal governments have qualified officials who oversee these processes according to those laws. We are a nation of laws. We follow the rule of law and have done so with regard to past elections, and will continue to do so in the future. I do not see the U.S. Military as part of this process; this is the responsibility of Congress, the Supreme Court, and components of the Executive Branch.
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Question Number: 10
Question: Third, on the military’s role in supporting a peaceful transition of presidential power:
- Are you aware that the U.S. Congress certifies the results of the electoral college? - Do you
commit to facilitating a peaceful transition of power that reflects the certification of Congress? -
Are you both committed to the principle that there can only be one President at a time? - Do you
both recognize that your oaths to the Constitution and the chain of command itself require you to
act on the orders of the legitimate President, and only the legitimate President, once he or she is
sworn in on January 20, 2021?

Answer:
I am aware of Congress’ role in certifying the results of the Electoral College, codified in Title 3
of the U.S. Code. In the event of a dispute over some aspect of the elections, by law U.S. courts
and the U.S. Congress are required to resolve any disputes, not the U.S. Military. I foresee no
role for the U.S. Armed Forces in this process. I and every member of the Armed Forces take an
oath to support and defend the Constitution of the United States, and to follow the lawful orders
of the chain of command. We will not turn our backs on the Constitution of the United States.
Question: Finally, you both affirmed to the Armed Services Committee on July 9, 2020 that you are aware that, under the Constitution, the duly elected President is the sole commander-in-chief of the United States. You both further affirmed that you are aware that the chain of command runs from the duly elected President as commander-in-chief to the Secretary of Defense, and from the Secretary of Defense to the commanders of the combatant commands. Secretary Esper, you confirmed that you are aware that Secretaries of Defense serve at the pleasure of the President, subject to the advice and consent of the Senate, and can be removed by the President at his or her legal discretion. General Milley, you confirmed that you are aware that the Uniformed Code of Military Justice criminalizes mutiny and sedition and attempted mutiny and sedition and applies to every uniformed member of the Armed Services, including yourself. You both affirmed your oaths to the Constitution, and affirmed that your oath requires you to support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies foreign and domestic and bear true faith and allegiance to the same. Finally, you both confirmed your understanding of the Insurrection Act, which provides, “Whenever the President considers that unlawful obstructions, combinations, or assemblages, or rebellion against the authority of the United States, make it impracticable to enforce the laws of the United States in any State by the ordinary course of judicial proceedings, he may call into Federal service such of the militia of any State, and use such of the armed forces, as he considers necessary to enforce those laws or to suppress the rebellion.” A legitimate President, therefore, might well have a factual basis to deem an illegitimate president claiming power to be acting in “rebellion against the authority of the United States,” and in turn to consider utilizing Insurrection Act authorities should it otherwise be “impracticable to enforce the laws of the United States.” Anyone in the chain of command would, in turn, be legally compelled to obey the legitimate President’s orders—and not any orders of the illegitimate president. - Is that correct? - If somebody other than the legitimate President as certified by Congress ordered you to use the military to prevent the peaceful transition of power from one President to another, would you refuse such an order?

Answer: I recognize that there is only one legitimate President of the United States at a time in accordance with U.S. law. I along with the entire U.S. military will follow the lawful orders of the legitimate President of the United States as determined by law.